

**IN THE KARNATAKA REAL ESTATE APPELATE TRIBUNAL,  
BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 03<sup>RD</sup> DAY OF FEBRUARY 2020**

**PRESENT**

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B SREENIVASE GOWDA, CHAIRMAN**

**AND**

**HON'BLE SRI K P DINESH, JUDICIAL MEMBER**

**AND**

**HON'BLE SRI P S SOMASHEKAR, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER**

**APPEAL (K-REAT) NO. 135/2020**

**(OLD RERA. APL No.182 of 2019)**

**BETWEEN:**

M/s SJR Prime Corporation Pvt. Ltd.  
A Company incorporated under the provisions of  
The Companies Act of 1956  
Having its registered Office at  
The Hub, Ground Floor,  
Sy. No. 8/2, Sarjapur Road, Ambalipura  
VarthurHobli, Bengaluru – 560 102  
Represented by its Legal Head  
Ms. Rekha Gandhi  
W/o Jitendra Gandhi aged about 47 years

**:APPELLANT**

(By M/s AKS Law Associates, Adv)

**AND**

1. The Adjudicating Officer.  
Real Estate Regulatory Authority  
2<sup>nd</sup> floor, sliver Jubilee Block ,  
Unity Building, CSI Compound,  
3<sup>rd</sup> Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560 027  
Represented by its Secretary.

2. Mr. Sumeet Gandotra,  
S1-502, Silverwood Regency,  
Opp. Play, Kasavanahalli,  
Sarjapur Road,  
Bengaluru – 560 035

**:RESPONDENTS**

(Sri M V Prashanth Advocate for Respondent-1)

(Smt A N Prerana Advocate for Respondent-2)

This Appeal is filed under Section 44 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 before the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal, Bengaluru, to set aside the order dated 28<sup>th</sup> May 2019 in CMP/180411/0000705 passed by the Adjudicating Officer, RERA Respondent-1. This appeal was transferred to this Tribunal on 02.01.2020 and renumbered as Appeal No.(K-REAT) 135/2020.

This appeal coming on for orders this day, the Chairman, made the following:

**JUDGMENT**

Sri M V Prashanth appearing for 1st Respondent submits that the matter is covered by the judgment of the Tribunal dated 08.01.2020 in Appeal (KREAT) No. 126/2020 (Old RERA Appeal No. 164/2019) (Parkway Homes LLP Vs. Vishaka Priyadarshini & another) against the Appellant and he further submits that, similar appeals filed by the appellant have already been dismissed by the Tribunal and prays for dismissal of this appeal in terms of the said order.

It is not in dispute that similar appeals preferred by the Appellant challenging similar orders of the Adjudicating Officer have been dismissed by this Tribunal.

In view of the above, the appeal does not survive for consideration and is accordingly dismissed.

In view of dismissal of main appeal, IAs do not survive for consideration and hence they are rejected accordingly.

There is no order as to costs.

**Sd/-**  
**HON'BLE CHAIRMAN**

**Sd/-**  
**HON'BLE JUDICIAL MEMBER**

**Sd/-**  
**HON'BLE ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER**