

**IN THE KARNATAKA REAL ESTATE APPELATE TRIBUNAL,
BENGALURU**

DATED THIS THE 03RD DAY OF FEBRUARY 2020

PRESENT

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B SREENIVASE GOWDA, CHAIRMAN

AND

HON'BLE SRI K P DINESH, JUDICIAL MEMBER

AND

HON'BLE SRI P S SOMASHEKAR, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER

APPEAL (K-REAT) NO. 136/2020

(OLD RERA. APL No.183 of 2019)

BETWEEN:

M/s SJR Prime Corporation Pvt. Ltd.
A Company incorporated under the provisions of
The Companies Act of 1956
Having its registered Office at
The Hub, Ground Floor,
Sy. No. 8/2, Sarjapur Road, Ambalipura
VarthurHobli, Bengaluru – 560 102
Represented by its Legal Head
Ms. Rekha Gandhi
W/o Jitendra Gandhi aged about 47 years

:APPELLANT

(By M/s AKS Law Associates, Adv)

AND

1. The Adjudicating Officer.
Real Estate Regulatory Authority
2nd floor, sliver Jubilee Block ,
Unity Building, CSI Compound,
3rd Cross, Mission Road, Bengaluru-560 027
Represented by its Secretary.

2. Mr. Gaurav Loknath Gera,
3, Sai Gardens-2, Opp Aditi Vidyalaya,
Lalbaug, Makarpura Road,
Gujarat, Vadodara – 560 095.

:RESPONDENTS

(Sri M V Prashanth Advocate for Respondent-1)

(Smt A N Prerana Advocate for Respondent-2)

This Appeal is filed under Section 44 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 before the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal, Bengaluru, to set aside the order dated 28th May 2019 in CMP/180412/0000716 passed by the Adjudicating Officer, RERA Respondent-1. This appeal was transferred to this Tribunal on 02.01.2020 and renumbered as Appeal No.(K-REAT) 136/2020.

This appeal coming on for orders this day, the Chairman, made the following:

JUDGMENT

Sri M V Prashanth appearing for 1st Respondent submits that the matter is covered by the judgment of the Tribunal dated 08.01.2020 in Appeal (KREAT) No. 126/2020 (Old RERA Appeal No. 164/2019) (Parkway Homes LLP Vs. Vishaka Priyadarshini & another) against the Appellant and he further submits that, similar appeals filed by the appellant have already been dismissed by the Tribunal and prays for dismissal of this appeal in terms of the said order.

It is not in dispute that similar appeals preferred by the Appellant challenging similar orders of the Adjudicating Officer have been dismissed by this Tribunal.

In view of the above, the appeal does not survive for consideration and is accordingly dismissed.

In view of dismissal of main appeal, IAs do not survive for consideration and hence they are rejected accordingly.

There is no order as to costs.

Sd/-
HON'BLE CHAIRMAN

Sd/-
HON'BLE JUDICIAL MEMBER

Sd/-
HON'BLE ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER