

**IN THE KARNATAKA REAL ESTATE APPELATE TRIBUNAL,  
BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 20<sup>th</sup> DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2020**

**PRESENT**

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B SREENIVASE GOWDA, CHAIRMAN**

**AND**

**HON'BLE SRI K P DINESH, JUDICIAL MEMBER**

**APPEAL (K-REAT) NO.54/2020**

**(OLD RERA. APL No. 56 of 2019)**

**BETWEEN:**

M/s Unishire Skyscapes LLP,  
A Limited Liability Partnership concern,  
Having its registered office at  
No.36, Railway Parallel Road,  
Nehru Nagar,  
Kumara Park West,  
Bengaluru – 560 020  
Rep. by its Designated Partner  
Sri N Suhas,  
S/o S Narayana Gowda,  
Aged about 41 years

**:APPELLANT**

(By G S Venkat Subbarao, Adv)

**AND**

1. The Karnataka Real Estate Regulatory Authority  
2<sup>nd</sup> floor, sliver Jubilee Block ,  
Unity Building, CSI Compound,  
3<sup>rd</sup> Cross, Mission Road,  
Bengaluru-560 027  
Represented by its Secretary.

- 2.** Mrs Akkamahadevi Hiremath  
D/o V S Hiremath  
Aged about 50 years.  
R/a: no. 106, A Block,  
Lakshmi Devi Enclave, LD Block,  
Ganganagar Extension,  
Bengaluru – 560 032

**:RESPONDENTS**

(Sri M V Prashanth Advocate for Respondent-1)

This Appeal is filed under Section 44 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 before the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal, Bengaluru, to set aside the order dated 19<sup>th</sup> January 2019 in CMP/180130/0000434 passed by the Adjudicating Officer, RERA Respondent-1. This appeal was transferred to this Tribunal on 02.01.2020 and renumbered as Appeal No.(K-REAT) 54/2020.

This appeal coming on for orders this day, the Chairman, made the following:

**JUDGMENT**

As there was no representation for the appellant on 3.1.2020, the appeal was adjourned to 21.1.2020, as a last chance for compliance of office objections. Even on 21.1.2020, there was no representation for the appellant. Therefore, the appeal was adjourned to today giving one more opportunity to the appellant to comply office objections with a condition that failing to comply office objections before this day, why appeal should not be dismissed for non-prosecution and further directed the office to intimate the said facts to the appellant and his counsel. Accordingly, office has

intimated the same to the appellant's counsel over phone as well as by e-mail, as could be seen from the records. In spite of that, neither the office objections have been complied with nor there is no representation for the appellant.

Hence, the appeal is dismissed both for non-prosecution and for non-compliance of office objections.

**Sd/-**

**HON'BLE CHAIRMAN**

**Sd/-**

**HON'BLE JUDICIAL MEMBER**

NOT AN OFFICIAL COPY