

**IN THE KARNATAKA REAL ESTATE APPELATE TRIBUNAL,  
BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 04<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MARCH 2020**

**PRESENT**

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B SREENIVASE GOWDA, CHAIRMAN**

**AND**

**HON'BLE SRI K P DINESH, JUDICIAL MEMBER**

**AND**

**HON'BLE SRI P S SOMASHEKAR, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER**

**APPEAL (K-REAT) NO.37/2020**

**(OLD RERA. APL No.37 of 2019)**

**BETWEEN:**

M/s Unishire Skyscapes LLP,  
A Limited Liability Partnership concern,  
Having its registered office at  
No.36, Railway Parallel Road,  
Nehru Nagar, Kumara Park West,  
Bengaluru – 560 020  
Rep. by its Designated Partner  
Sri. G Nanda Kumar  
S/o Late Govindaswamy,  
Aged about 52 years

**:APPELLANT**

(By G S Venkat Subbarao, Advocate, Absent)

**AND**

1. The interim Real Estate Regulatory Authority  
Constituted under  
The Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016  
Having its office at  
No.1/14, Ground floor,  
Silver Jubilee Block,

Unity Building, CSI Compound,  
3<sup>rd</sup> Cross, Mission Road,  
Bengaluru-560 027  
Represented by its Secretary.

2. Sri. Shukla Paul Biswas  
Major,  
C/o Mrs Sushmita Paul,  
R/a: B-101, Mantri Serenity Apartment,  
Doddakalasangra, Kanakapura Road,  
Opposite City Engineering College,  
East Khasi Hills – 560 062

**:RESPONDENTS**

(Sri M V Prashanth Advocate for Respondent-1)

This Appeal is filed under Section 44 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 before the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal, Bengaluru, to set aside the order dated 04<sup>th</sup> December 2018 in CMP/180814/0001141 passed by the Adjudicating Officer, RERA Respondent-1. This appeal was transferred to this Tribunal on 02.01.2020 and renumbered as Appeal No.(K-REAT) 37/2020.

This appeal coming on for orders this day, the Chairman, made the following:

### **JUDGMENT**

On 9.1.2020, appellant was granted time till 7.2.2020 for compliance of office objections. On 7.2.2020 also, there was no representation for the appellant nor office objections were complied with and therefore, in order to give one more opportunity, appeal was ordered to be listed today with a condition that failing to comply office objections before this day, why appeal should not be dismissed

for non-prosecution and for non-compliance of office objections and further office was directed to communicate this order to the appellant's counsel by phone. In spite of communicating the order dated 7.2.2020 to the appellant's counsel over phone neither office objections were complied with nor there is any representation for the appellant.

Hence, appeal is dismissed both for non-prosecution and for non-compliance of office objections.

**Sd/-  
HON'BLE CHAIRMAN**

**Sd/-  
HON'BLE JUDICIAL MEMBER**

**Sd/-  
HON'BLE ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER**